DNN

Achivation function is one of the distinctions between depneural networks (DNUs) vs. linear models.

 $\frac{1}{y} = \phi^{(L)} \left(W^{(L)} \int_{L}^{\infty} (L-1) \right)$ on it the bias using the dumny which with.

$$y'' = \phi^{(c)}(W^{(c)}h^{(c)})$$

He dummy variable with
$$\frac{1}{h^{(c-1)}} = \phi^{(c-1)}(W^{(c-1)}h^{(c-2)})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{1}{h} = \phi^{(L-1)} \left(\omega^{(L-1)} \frac{1}{h} \frac{1}{h} \frac{1}{h} \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{1}{h}(1) = \Phi^{(1)}\left(w^{(1)} \xrightarrow{2}\right)$$

model's input. If we assume we don't use an activation function $\phi^{(L)} = \phi^{(L-1)} = \cdots = \phi^{(1)}$

$$\phi(x) = x$$

$$\varphi(x) = x$$

weight matrix >) a DNN without achbation functions is equivalent to a linear model. Universal approximation lheoren:

itself a single

A single hidden layer neural network with a soufficiently (auge)
hidden layer is able to approximate hidden layer is able to approximate any function autoinably well. This universality property was demonstrated for DNNs with various achievism functions

(threshold, logistic, ReLUs, ...) Example argument illustrating the universality of DNNs Toy problem space where all inputs one binary

Goal: given a function mapping input vectors to outputs, me need to produce a neural ner which matches that function. tangets/outputs

Compact (advantages):

- Easier to compute

furctions.

Achivehon function

hard threshold

Any input pattern will produce achivations at the hidden layer such that exactly one neuron of the hidden layer is achive. While universality is a nice property of DNNs, the DNN required to represent a function may not be compact.

- large (non-comparer) models have more parameters (they are nove prone to neuvoritation). Note: in the example above, we used hard threshold activations

Disadvantage from hord threshold functions: (We saw previous by) hard to brain with gradient descent

We could use a logistie activation:

why? - convenient to design the neural network

gradient gradients Solution: replace hard threshold functions by other non-linear achilchen

y = \(\sigma(52)\)
scaled weights y = 5(2) If he Combine logistic activation functions with

gradient descent, we can find values of the

breight parameters that yield an achivation of the neuron which is close to a hard threshold Depth vs width One of the advantages of

deeper neural nebroles, is that they can represent functions nove compactly than shallow neural networks. mides (adding more neurons per layer)